

Requested Patent: WO8802192A1  
Title: LOW DAMPING TORQUE BRUSHLESS D.C. MOTOR ;  
Abstracted Patent: US4733118 ;  
Publication Date: 1988-03-22 ;  
Inventor(s): MIHALKO EMIL S (US) ;  
Applicant(s): HHK INC (US) ;  
Application Number: US19860910567 19860922 ;  
Priority Number(s): US19860910567 19860922 ;  
IPC Classification: ;

Equivalents:

ABSTRACT:


A brushless D.C. motor, suitable for use as a hand-held surgical tool, includes a field of self-supporting coils arranged between a pair of impregnated fiberglass epoxy sheets situated in an air gap formed by a rotatable shaft having an even-number plurality of magnets formed thereon, and a non-electrically conductive housing. The individual magnets abut one another upon the shaft to prevent a magnetic return path from the substantially unidirectional field produced thereby, and are formed in relationship to the coils such that a single rotor pole will never be under a single coil, in order to minimize induced shorted currents.



## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<p>(51) International Patent Classification<sup>4</sup> : H02K 21/14, 3/04, 21/08 H02K 29/08</p>	<p>A1</p>	<p>(11) International Publication Number: WO 88/02192 (43) International Publication Date: 24 March 1988 (24.03.88)</p>
<p>(21) International Application Number: PCT/US87/02407 (22) International Filing Date: 21 September 1987 (21.09.87) (31) Priority Application Number: 910,567 (32) Priority Date: 22 September 1986 (22.09.86) (33) Priority Country: US (71) Applicant: HHK INC. [US/US]; Route 611, Plumsteadville, PA 18949 (US). (72) Inventor: MIHALKO, Emil, S. ; 728 Collins Avenue, Lansdale, PA 19446 (US). (74) Agents: NORRIS, Norman, L. et al.; Woodcock Washburn Kurtz Mackiewicz &amp; Norris, 1800 United Engineers Building, 30 South 17th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103 (US).</p>		<p>(81) Designated States: DE, FR (European patent), GB, JP, KR.  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i></p>

(54) Title: IMPROVED BRUSHLESS D.C. MOTOR



(57) Abstract

A brushless D.C. motor, suitable for use as a hand-held surgical tool, includes a field of self-supporting coils (19) arranged between a pair of impregnated fiberglass epoxy sheets (23) situated in an air gap formed by a rotatable shaft (11) having an even-numbered plurality of magnets (25) formed thereon, and a non-electrically conductive housing (31). The individual magnets (25) abut one another upon the shaft (11) to prevent a magnetic return path from the substantially unidirectional field produced thereby, and are formed in relationship to the coils (14) such that a single rotor pole will never be under a single coil, in order to minimize induced shorted currents.

***FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY***

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FR	France	ML	Mali
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	MR	Mauritania
BB	Barbados	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NL	Netherlands
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	NO	Norway
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	RO	Romania
BR	Brazil	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	LI	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
CH	Switzerland	LK	Sri Lanka	SU	Soviet Union
CM	Cameroon	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
DE	Germany, Federal Republic of	MC	Monaco	TG	Togo
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	US	United States of America
FI	Finland				

## IMPROVED BRUSHLESS D.C. MOTOR

Background of the Invention

This invention is generally related to direct  
5 current (D.C.) motors, and more particularly to brushless  
D.C. motors which are capable of operating at extremely  
high speeds while maintaining a relatively low operating  
temperature.

The advantages that brushless D.C. motors have  
10 over conventional D.C. motors are well known. In brushless  
D.C. motors, it is usually most practical to provide a  
stator structure where the windings are placed in an  
external, slotted stator. The rotor consists of a shaft  
and a hub assembly with a magnetic structure. Such  
15 brushless D.C. motors produce their output torque via the  
interaction between a magnetic field produced by the  
permanent magnet rotor, and a magnetic field due to a D.C.  
current in the stator structure.

A conventional D.C. motor, on the other hand, is  
20 usually comprised of permanent magnets which are situated  
in an outer stator structure and an inner rotor carrying  
the various winding coils. When compared with the typical  
brushless D.C. motor, it becomes evident that there are  
significant differences in winding and magnet locations.  
25 The conventional D.C. motor has the active conductors in  
the slots in the rotor structure, and in contrast, the  
brushless D.C. motor has the active conductors in slots in  
the outside stator. The removal of heat produced in the

active windings is, thus, <sup>2</sup> easier to accomplish in a brushless D.C. motor since the thermal path to the environment is shorter. Furthermore, since the permanent magnet rotor of a brushless motor does not contribute any heating in and of itself, the brushless D.C. motor is a more stable mechanical device than its conventional D.C. motor counterpart from a thermal point of view.

Brushless D.C. motors, just as conventional D.C. motors, are used to produce mechanical power from electric power. As such, both may be viewed as energy converters. Neither the brushless D.C. motor nor its conventional counterpart, however, are ideal converters due to various motor losses. Motor losses can generally be classified into two categories: (1) load sensitive losses which are dependent upon the generated torque; and (2) speed sensitive losses which are proportional to the motor's rotational speed.

The load or torque sensitive losses are generally limited to winding losses which are proportional to the square of the current going through the windings times the resistance of the windings. Motors are often wound with copper conductors, or in some of the newer low-inertia type motors, aluminum conductors. With either metal, however, electrical resistance increases with temperature, each at a different rate. Therefore, for a given value of current the winding losses will increase as a motor heats up.

Speed sensitive losses, such as core or iron losses due to eddy currents and hysteresis, windage and friction, short circuit currents, and brush contact, when combined together act as a velocity dependent torque which opposes the output torque of the motor. In brushless D.C. motors, brush contact losses are obviated as are friction losses between the brushes and commutator of a given conventional D.C. motor. Iron or core losses due to eddy currents and the hysteresis effect, as well as short circuit currents, remain as dominant losses even in brushless D.C. motors. As is well known, eddy currents are phenomena caused by a change of magnetic field through a

medium that can also support a flow of electric current. In the case of a conventional permanent magnetic motor, the medium that experiences the change of magnetic field in which a potential voltage is induced is the iron of the armature. Likewise, the housing portion of a brushless D.C. motor also has a potential voltage induced in its and produces currents called eddy currents. In either case, the induced eddy currents which are produced in the iron are proportional to speed, and can have a significant heating effect on the motor particularly when it operates at high speed.

Short circuit currents also contribute a component of loss which increases with motor speed. Motors which are not otherwise limited by their iron losses have speed limitations due to short circuit currents. Eddy currents, short circuit currents and hysteresis determine the maximum speed that may be obtained from conventional D.C. motors as well as brushless D.C. motors.

One prior art approach is disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 4,130,769 -- Karube. Karube discloses a brushless D.C. motor having a rotor composed of a permanent field magnet, an armature coil body containing a plurality of polygonally-shaped coils with a given number of turns, and Hall effect sensors positioned in proximity to the end face of the magnet. The arrangement of the armature coil body and cylindrical permanent magnet, however, promotes two basic problems which would lead to heat caused by short circuit currents. First, the structure of the magnet yields an ill-defined magnetic field between adjacent poles. Separate and distinct magnets with alternating poles and a highly directional magnetic field would be more preferable to induce a sharp definition between the poles, and thus, promote a more distinct switching effect during commutation and minimize cogging. Second, the positioning of Hall effect sensors or any other commutation sensing means would more preferably be in the direction of the magnetic field, not as in Karube, perpendicular from the field where only flux leakage would be detected.

A second basic trend in the design of D.C. low inertia motors utilizes the moving coil concept. This principle basically consists of a multiple d'Arsonval movement with a commutation arrangement. Moving coil structures which are presently used have followed two general design paths, both of which have a multitude of conductors which move in a magnetic field, the armature structure being supported mainly by non-magnetic materials and the active conductors therefore moving in an air gap with a high magnetic flux density. Since the moving coil motor does not have moving iron in its magnetic field, neither iron eddy currents nor hysteresis effects are predominant as heat producing motor losses. Consequently, typical moving coil motors require lower power inputs to obtain high rotational speeds. One major problem with such moving coil or low-inertia motors, however, is that their armature-to-housing thermal resistance and housing-to-ambient thermal resistance are greatly different. For example, typical moving coil motors have thermal time constants of ranging from about 500 milliseconds and to about one second for armature-to-housing, and 30 to 60 minutes for housing-to-ambient. It is readily apparent that the armature of such moving coil motors could be heated to destructive temperatures in less than a minute without the motor's giving any warning because of its long thermal time constant between housing and ambient.

In order to prevent thermal destruction, therefore, air cooling is often provided for moving coil motors. Other forms of heat dissipation, such as cooling the motor with circulating water or oil, have also been employed. As is evident, the use of a moving coil low inertia motor which requires peripheral equipment to cool it would be cumbersome and more costly, especially in applications such as hand-held surgical tools which necessitate light weights and long-term heat dissipation capabilities. It would, therefore, be desirable to provide a brushless D.C. motor offering high efficiency and good



commutation, while at the same time being capable of operating at high rotational speeds for extended periods of time without necessitating the use of forced air or other cooling techniques.

5 Summary of the Invention

Accordingly, it is a general object of the present invention to provide a D.C. motor which requires a low power input to obtain high rotational speeds.

10 More particularly, it is an object of the present invention to provide a brushless D.C. motor having an improved efficiency factor while operating at greatly enhanced rotational speeds.

Another object of the present invention is to provide an improved brushless D.C. motor for applications  
15 such as surgical tools which necessitate an ability to withstand the high temperatures and humidity encountered in a sterilizing autoclave.

Yet another object of the present invention is to provide an improved brushless D.C. motor which is capable  
20 of operating at greatly enhanced rotational speeds without requiring peripheral cooling equipment.

Still another object of the present invention is to provide an improved brushless D.C. motor which is light weight, low-cost, and easy to fabricate.

25 These and other objects of the present invention are accomplished by a brushless D.C. motor which, instead of using stator lamination with the coils embedded in slots, comprises a field of self-supporting coils arranged between a pair of impregnated fiberglass/epoxy sheet and  
30 situated in the air gap of the motor. A rotatable shaft having mounted thereon a plurality of permanent magnets is placed within the field, thereby producing a torque through the interaction of the magnetic field from the permanent magnet rotor and the magnetic field due to a D.C. current  
35 in the coils.

In accordance with one important aspect of the present invention, the magnets are formed of a material



having a high coercive force ( $H_c$ ), thus providing an essentially unidirectional magnetic field, and are affixed to the shaft in an abutting manner, thus eliminating exposure of the shaft to the field thereby minimizing  
5 secondary lines of force.

In accordance with another important aspect of the invention, a support stack of very thin insulated laminations surrounds the field of coils to provide a low eddy current return path and a magnetic return path. The  
10 laminations are preferably comprised of an electrical iron having a low core loss at high frequencies. Since the coils are self-supporting and not embedded within a slotted stator, variations in torque and speed of the motor due to variations in the magnetic flux as the rotor poles move  
15 past the stator poles, sometimes known as "cogging", are eliminated.

In accordance with yet another important aspect of the invention each coil comprising the field is essentially polygonal in shape with two parallel sides  
20 arranged along the longitudinal axis of the motor. The coils are thus situated such that both sides of one coil cannot be exposed to the same rotor pole simultaneously. That is, the sides of one coil are either exposed to adjacent opposing poles or are completely unexposed.

25 In a presently preferred embodiment of the invention, the improved brushless D.C. motor is utilized within a hand-held surgical tool. Such applications require the use of light weight materials which will dissipate heat while the motor is operating. Accordingly,  
30 in accordance with another important aspect of the invention, it is preferred that an ideal a housing which surrounds the motor be comprised of a material which has an infinite electrical resistance with a very high magnetic permeability. The housing may comprise any such material  
35 which is not electrically conductive, but a plastic housing is preferred since it minimizes the heating problems which were present in prior art brushless D.C. motors. Because the intensity of the magnetic field caused by the permanent

magnetic rotor is on the order of 8000 oersteds, its effects are felt at distances outside of the field of coils, thereby inducing a potential across conductive materials. Since the field rotates faster at faster operational speeds, the potential induced at greatly enhanced rotational speeds is eliminated through the use of such a plastic housing.

Other objects, advantages, and novel features of the present invention will become apparent from the following detailed description of a preferred embodiment when considered in conjunction with the following drawings wherein:

#### Brief Description of the Drawings

Fig. 1 illustrates a hand-held surgical tool utilizing the improved brushless D.C. motor of the present invention;

Fig. 2 is a cross-sectional view of the improved brushless D.C. motor utilized in the hand-held surgical tool shown in Fig. 1;

Fig. 3 is a cross-sectional view of the motor shown in Fig. 2 taken along the lines 3-3;

Fig. 3A is an enlarged portion of Fig. 2 showing details of a field coil-laminations-housing arrangement in accordance with one embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 3B shows details of another embodiment according to the present invention;

Fig. 4 is a cross-sectional view of a conventional brushless D.C. motor according to the prior art;

Fig. 5 illustrates the placement of commutation sensors;

Fig. 6 is a simplified block diagram illustrating the control electronics; and

Fig. 7 illustrates the operating characteristics of a motor according to the present invention.

Detailed Description of the Preferred Embodiment

Referring now to the drawings, wherein like characters designate like or corresponding parts throughout the several views, there is shown in Fig. 1 a presently preferred application of the instant invention. A hand-held tool 1, suitable for use in surgical applications, is comprised generally of a motor portion 3 connected through an adaptor portion 5 to a work piece 7. The tool 1 receives its electrical power through a supply cord 9 attached at one end thereof.

As is shown more clearly in Fig. 2, the motor portion 3 includes a rotatable shaft 11 having a plurality of permanent magnets 13 radially mounted about its surface, the shaft 11 being supported between a pair of bearings 15. The magnets 13 are preferably comprised of a rare-earth material, and are glued to the shaft 11 with a suitable adhesive, such as a P2 resin and SB activator, manufactured by Columbus Adhesive & Chemical Company. The rotor 17 comprised of the magnets 13 and the shaft 11 is then ground to a predetermined diameter suitable for use in a particular tool 1.

A plurality of coils 19, is self-supportedly mounted around the rotor 17 between a pair of pre-impregnated sheets 23 of a non-ferrous material such as fiberglass. As is shown more clearly in conjunction with Figs. 3, 3A and 3B, the coils 19 are arranged within the air gap 25 of the motor portion 3, thus avoiding the use of stator lamination where the coils are typically embedded within slots as shown in Fig. 4, and reducing the inductance of the resultant assembly therefore by lowering its electrical time constant so that faster and more efficient switching of the drive current can be achieved by conventional electronic control means.

In order to provide a low eddy current return path, as well as a magnetic return path, a support stack 27 for the coils 19 may be provided by a plurality of laminations 29. The laminations 29 are comprised of electrical iron having a low core loss at high frequency,

and are suitably from 0.006 inches to 0.007 inches thick. Typical prior art approaches which have utilized laminations require laminations on the order of 0.025 inches thick made of soft iron which has been annealed, thus raising its magnetic saturation point. Electrical iron, on the other hand, is critical to the practice of the instant invention because of the intensity of the magnetic field produced is so great (approximately 8000 oersteds) that it reaches out past the motor portion 3 and induces a potential across any conductive material.

Therefore, in accordance with one important aspect of this invention, the optimum material for a housing 31 which contains the motor portion 3 is one which has an infinite electrical resistance with a very high magnetic permeability. A ferrite material is such, but any material that is not electrically conductive is suitable for the housing 31. In a presently preferred embodiment of the instant invention, the housing 31 is comprised of a plastic material such as a glass-filled, engineering grade plastic. Such materials should be thermally stable at high temperatures and have a low temperature coefficient of expansion to permit its being sterilized in an autoclave.

Referring again to Figs. 3A and 3B, it can be seen that the present invention may be practiced with or without the support stack 27. Stator laminations used in typical brushless D.C. motors are generally on the order of from 0.020 to 0.030 inches thick. However, in accordance with the embodiment of the present invention which utilizes the support stack 27 of very thin laminations 29 separated one from the other by an insulative oxide coat 33, thin laminations are used as one means of reducing eddy current losses at high frequencies of operation. That is, the laminations 29 are preferably from 0.006 to 0.007 inches thick, and separated by the insulative oxide coat 33, in order to reduce the total volume of iron exposed to the field into smaller incremental volumes which provide an increased resistance by laminating the iron.

Another means for reducing such eddy current losses, as has been discovered during the development of the present invention is to minimize the effects of the high-strength field induced by the rotating magnets 13 upon the housing 31. Conventional brushless D.C. motors utilize housings made of aluminum or steel, ostensibly to dissipate heat. In reality, such aluminum or steel housings are heat generators because the high-strength, rotating magnetic field produced by the magnets 13 induces a potential in the aluminum or steel housing which causes a current to flow in the housing which in turn results in heat.

One way which has been found to minimize the heat produced by the induced potential in the housing 31, is to make the housing 31 very thin (on the order of 0.020 inches) in cross-section in order to reduce its volume, and increase its resistance. As a result, the increased resistance minimizes the current which passes through the conductive aluminum housing. Ideally, however, the housing 31 may be comprised of an non-conductive material such as plastic, thus obviating the problem of a heat-producing current being induced by the rotating magnetic field.

Referring again to Fig. 3, the construction of the rotor 17 and its relationship to the geometry of the coils 19 will be explained. As shown by the dashed lines, a primary field exists across adjacent poles. A secondary field, indicated by dashed-and-dotted lines, also exists beneath the coils 19 where their lines of force do not intersect a conductive medium other than air. Thus, the secondary field will not induce work. The material selected for the magnets 13 is preferably one with a high coercive force ( $H_c$ ) and an essentially unidirectional field (as indicated by the arrows). Rare-earth magnets are preferred with one suitable such material being Neodymium (e.g., NE1GT 27H). Furthermore, in accordance with a most important aspect of the present invention, the magnets 13 are affixed to the shaft 11 without exposing any portion of the shaft 11 to the primary or secondary fields. That is, a corner of one magnet 13 will abut the corner of its next



adjacent magnet 13 to eliminate the path of least resistance for the magnetic field. While a four-pole rotor 17 is shown in Fig. 3, any even number of poles may be utilized such that the centerlines of adjacent magnets 13 are separated by  $360/N$  degrees, N being an even number of total poles on the rotor 17.

In accordance with another most important aspect of the present invention, the outer arcuate surface of each magnet 13 ideally spans an arc equal to two-thirds of the arc between adjacent centerlines. For example, in the four-pole rotor 17 shown in Fig. 3, the centerline of each magnet 13 is ninety degrees from the next adjacent magnet 13. Therefore, the outer arcuate surface of each magnet 13 spans substantially sixty degrees.

As also can be seen from Fig. 3, the width of each coil 19 is such that both sides thereof will not be exposed to the same pole at the same time. That is, the coil 19 is either exposed to adjacent poles (e.g., 19a), or is completely "off" the poles (e.g., 19b). This arrangement reduces the short circuit currents which would otherwise be generated if both sides of the coil were under one pole. One motor built in accordance with the present invention was capable of producing three ounce-inches of torque at speeds of between 40,000 - 70,000 rpm for periods of time in excess of ten minutes continuous operation without noticeable heat. The efficiency of that motor, as shown in Fig. 7 exceeded 90% independent of dynamometer losses. This compares with typical prior art brushless D.C. motors having efficiencies of between 65% and 75%, maximum rotational speeds of approximately 10,000 rpm, and severely limited periods of continuous operation due to heat build-up.

Referring again to Fig. 2, it can be seen that the motor portion 3 is coupled to the adaptor portion 5 by threads 35 formed at one end of the motor portion 3. Since the preferred embodiment of the present may be suitably used as a surgical tool, thus requiring sterilization, a pair of O-rings 37 are provided within annular slots 39



formed upon respective bearing mounts 41. The assembled tool 1 is, thus, capable of withstanding the high humidity, high temperature (e.g., 270°F) atmosphere of an autoclave.

A plurality of connector pins 43 are used to  
5 electrically connect the coils 19 and three conventional Hall effect sensors 45 (Fig. 5) for means of effecting a commutation of the motor portion 3. The Hall effect sensing system provided by the sensors 45, as is conventional, detects the magnitude and polarity of a  
10 magnetic field. The signals are then amplified and processed to form logic compatible levels for the conventional control electronics shown in Fig. 6. In typical brushless D.C. motors which utilize Hall effect sensors for commutation, the sensors are usually mounted in  
15 the stator structure where they sense the polarity and magnitude of the permanent magnetic field in the air gap. The outputs of these sensors control the logic functions of the controller configuration to provide current to the proper coil in the stator. One drawback with such a  
20 location for the Hall effect sensors is that they become subject to high stator temperature conditions which may affect the Hall effect switching performance, therefore becoming a system performance limitation. However, since the motor portion 3 of the present invention significantly  
25 reduces the operational temperatures experienced by the coils 19, the Hall effect sensors 45 in accordance with yet another important aspect of the invention are located directed upon the coils 19 as shown in Fig. 5. Also, the highly focussed magnetic field produced by magnets 13  
30 enable a clean, crisp commutation output. This commutation is an important advantage over the present diffused magnetic fields which create a sloping waveform thereby making it more difficult for the controller to analyze.

It is apparent from the foregoing that an  
35 improved brushless D.C. motor is provided by the present invention in which a self-supporting field of coils 19 are situated about a rotor 17 comprised of a plurality of magnets 13 affixed to a rotatable shaft 11, thus resulting

in a lower electrical time constant so that faster and more efficient switching of the current driving the coils 19 can be achieved by a conventional controller and drive unit. Because in one embodiment the support stack 27 is comprised  
5 of very thin laminations 29 separated by an insulative oxide coat 33, or in accordance with the preferred embodiment of the present invention where a very thin, conductive or non-conductive or plastic housing is employed, the overall inductance of the motor portion 3, is  
10 reduced. Furthermore, a low eddy current return path and magnetic return path is provided, thereby reducing eddy current losses and their resultant heat. Because the conventional stator slots are eliminated by arranging the coils 19 in a self-supporting fashion in the air gap of the  
15 motor portion 3 no cogging or preferred position is experienced. That is, variations in torque and speed of the motor portion 3 since variations in the magnetic flux caused when the poles of the rotor 17 move past the stator poles are eliminated.

20 Obviously many modifications and variations of the present invention are possible in light of the above teachings. It is therefore to be understood that within the scope of the appended claims, the invention may be practiced otherwise than as specifically described.

What is Claimed is:

1. An improved brushless D.C. motor,  
comprising:

a rotor including a plurality of permanent  
5 magnets, each having a longitudinal centerline, an outer  
arcuate surface, and an inner surface between a pair of  
corners, affixed to a rotatable shaft;

a plurality of coils self-supportedly mounted in  
a cylindrical array about said rotor;

10 commutation sensing means mounted contiguously  
upon preselected ones of said plurality of coils for  
detecting the magnitude and polarity of a magnetic field  
produced by said permanent magnets;

a housing surrounding said plurality of coils  
15 with said commutation sensing means and said rotor; and

control means coupled to said plurality of coils  
and said commutation sensing means for providing power  
thereto and commutating said rotor.

2. The motor according to claim 1, wherein said  
20 plurality of permanent magnets comprises an even-numbered  
plurality.

3. The motor according to claim 2, wherein said  
plurality of magnets affixed to said rotatable shaft are  
separated each from the other  $360/N$  mechanical degrees  
25 between their respective centerlines,  $N$  comprising the even  
number of magnets.

4. The motor according to claim 3, wherein said  
outer arcuate surface spans an angle comprising  
substantially two-thirds of the separation between each of  
30 said magnets.

5. The motor according to claim 4, wherein the  
corner of each of said magnets contiguously adjoins the  
corners of its next adjacent magnet.

6. The motor according to claim 1, wherein said  
35 magnets comprise rare-earth magnets.

7. The motor according to claim 6, wherein said  
rare-earth magnets comprise Neodymium magnets.

8. The motor according to claim 1, wherein said magnets comprise magnets with a coercive force capable of providing a substantially unidirectional field.

9. The improved brushless D.C. motor according to claim 1, further comprising:

a support stack including a plurality of thin laminations separated each from the other by an insulative coat.

10. The improved brushless D.C. motor according to claim 1, wherein said plurality of coils are bonded between a pair of fiberglass sheets by an epoxy resin.

11. The improved brushless D.C. motor according to claim 1, wherein said housing comprises a thin, conductive housing.

12. The improved brushless D.C. motor according to claim 1, wherein said housing comprises a non-conductive housing.

13. The improved brushless D.C. motor according to claim 12 wherein said non-conductive housing comprises a ferrite material.

14. The improved brushless D.C. motor according to claim 12, wherein said non-conductive housing comprises a plastic.

15. A hand-held surgical tool, comprising:  
a motor portion including a brushless D.C. motor comprised of:

a rotor including a plurality of permanent magnets affixed to a rotatable shaft;

a plurality of coils self-supportively mounted in a cylindrical array about said rotor;

commutation sensing means mounted contiguously upon preselected ones of said plurality of coils for detecting the magnitude and polarity of a magnetic field produced by said permanent magnets;

a housing surrounding said plurality of coils with said commutation sensing means and said rotor;

an adaptor portion coupled to said motor portion for transmitting a torque supplied by said shaft; and

a workpiece coupled to said adaptor portion, said workpiece being adapted to rotate with said torque provided by said shaft.

16. The tool according to claim 15, further comprising:

a support stack including a plurality of thin laminations separated each from the other by an insulative coat.

17. The tool according to claim 16, wherein said laminations comprise electrical-grade steel.

18. The tool according to claim 17, wherein said laminations are each from .006 to 0.007 inches thick.


19. The tool according to claim 15, wherein said plurality of said coils is bonded between a pair of fiberglass sheets by an epoxy resin.

20. The tool according to claim 15, wherein said housing comprises a thin, conductive housing.


21. The tool according to claim 15, wherein said housing comprises a non-conductive housing.

22. The tool according to claim 21, wherein said non-conductive housing comprises a ferrite material.

23. The tool according to claim 21, wherein said non-conductive housing comprises a plastic.




*Fig. 2*




*Fig. 1*







**Fig. 3**

**Fig. 3A**





**Fig. 3B**




**Fig. 4**

PRIOR ART

***Fig. 5***



***Fig. 6***



***Fig. 7***

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/US87/02407

## I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all) <sup>3</sup>

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC

IPC (4): H02K 21/14, 37/04, 21/08, 29/08

U.S. CL. 310/47, 156, 177, 208, 254, 318/254

## II. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum Documentation Searched <sup>4</sup>

Classification System |

Classification Symbols

U.S. 310/47, 50, 156, 177, 208, 216, 254;  
318/138, 254

Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation  
to the extent that such Documents are included in the Fields Searched <sup>5</sup>

## III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT <sup>14</sup>

Category <sup>6</sup>	Citation of Document, <sup>16</sup> with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages <sup>17</sup>	Relevant to Claim No. <sup>18</sup>
Y	US, A, 4,445,061 (JACKSON, Jr.) 24 April 1984. See column 5, line 65, thru column 6.	1-8, 15
Y	US, A, 4,547,713 (LANGLEY et al) 15 October 1985. See column 3 thru column 4, line 41.	1-8, 11, 15, 20
A	US, A, 4,393,344 (WHELLAMS) 12 JULY 1983. See column 4, lines 9-25.	16, 17
A, P	US, A, 4,645,961 (MALSKY) 24 February 1987. See abstract.	1-4
A	US, A, 4,385,248 (LASKARIS) 24 May 1983. See column 3, line 55 to column 4, line 8.	10
A	US, A, 3,935,909 (MABUCHI et al) 03 February 1976. See the entire document.	-----

<sup>19</sup> Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

## IV. CERTIFICATION

Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search <sup>2</sup>

08 February 1988

International Searching Authority <sup>1</sup>

ISA/US

Date of Mailing of this International Search Report <sup>3</sup>

23 FEB 1988

Signature of Authorized Officer <sup>20</sup>

*D. L. Rebsch*  
D. L. Rebsch